



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/848,577	05/03/2001	Young-Hoon Park	YPL-0017	6816
•	7590 05/19/2			
Cantor Colburn LLP			EXAMINER	
55 Griffin South Road Bloomfield, CT 06002			MOORE, KARLA A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1763	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

9	5
_	

**************************************	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/848,577	PARK, YOUNG-HOON				
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Karla Moore	1763				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 28 April 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	roid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment whicl	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date		in the Carl animation which are in lates. In				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	, , , , -					
	SUPERVISO	EGORY MILLS RY PATENT EXAMINER LOGY CENTER 1700				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 2.



NOTE: The newly added verbiage "whereby the first and second reaction gases and/or inert gases are independently applied on the wafer without mixing each other" adds structural limitations which would require additional search and consideration.

Continuation of 10.

Other: Applicant also presented two brief arguments regarding how the current application defines over Kawata prior to the amendment. These arguments are addressed here as understood by the Examiner.

- 1) Applicant's arguments regarding "the second connection line" in Kawata supplying an inert gas rather than a reaction gas is not convincing because Applicant's claim only necessitates the "second conection line" supply a reaction gas or an inert gas, which Kawata teaches.
- 2) With regards to Applicant's argument regarding "the first connection line" and the "plurality of nozzles" not connecting, Examiner fails to find this limitation in claim 1.